

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/801,626	03/08/2001	Hubrecht Lambertus Tjalling De Bliek	PHNL 000130	8314	
24737	7590 02/23/20	590 02/23/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			BONSHOCK, DENNIS G		
P.O. BOX 3 BRIARCLIF	001 FF MANOR, NY 103	10	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2173	8/	
		DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

		K.				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/801,626	DE BLIEK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Dennis G Bonshock	2173				
Period for Reply	ars on the cover she t with the c	orr spondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2173

Final Rejection

Response to Amendment

- 1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed on record in the file: Amendment A as received on 12-3-203.
- 2. Claims 1-19 have been examined.

Status of claims:

3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roewer, Patent # 5,734,915.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roewer, Patent # 5,734,915.
- 6. With regard to claim 1, which teaches an interface for processing and presenting image data, Roewer teaches, in column 4, line 33 and column 4, line 46, providing a GUI for medical imagery in which all necessary information is visible. With regard to claim 1 further teaching arrangement to co-operate with a database for obtaining image data, Roewer teaches, in column 8, line 66, an operator loading a patients image data from a local database. With regard to claim 1 further teaching a visual display unit, Roewer teaches, in column 4, line 48, displaying the images on a workstation. With

Application/Control Number: 09/801,626

Art Unit: 2173

regard to claim 1 further teaching an input member allowing for communication, Roewer teaches in column 4, line 59, input via a mouse, hot keys, and other input devices. With regard to claim 1 further teaching the interface being arranged to select an image from each group of coherent image data, Roewer teaches, in column 11, lines 45-48, stepping through a hierarchy to select image data. With regard to claim 1 further teaching forming a pictorial representative of the selected image, Roewer teaches, in column 4, lines 50-57, providing a visual representation of the images. With regard to claim 1, further teaching causing the representative pictorial to be displayed on the display unit, Roewer teaches, in column 13, line 35 and figures 4a-c, the display of the images.

Page 3

7. With regard to claim 2, which teaches image data combined with attribute data and that characterizes a patient or relevant image data, and that the interface is arranged to select feasible applications for each group and separately adds a reference to each that was characterized the same, Roewer teaches, in column 5, line 42, that images, text, and graphics items can be sent to a storage device for later retrieval. In column 19, lines 52-60 and column 20, line 48, Roewer teaches that his text templates contain modality (form defining) information concerning the attributes of the source modality that produced the medical image, and other physical or technical attributes of the image. Roewer goes on to teach that these text templates can be referenced by ID numbers, which index to where it is stored. With regard to it being arranged to select, Roewer teaches, in column 11, line 45, being arranged in a hierarchical manner for selection of specific images.

Art Unit: 2173

8. With regard to claim 3, which teaches that the user interface is arranged to add or remove an application selected by a user or from an image selection, Roewer teaches, in column 14, lines 20-30, that there are provide image tools to directly manipulate an image, and that an operator can temporarily hide text, drawing lines, or symbols placed on the screen.

- 9. With regard to claim 4, which teaches displaying feasible applications on the display unit, Roewer teaches, in column 19, lines 52-60 and column 20, lines 48-53, that his text templates contain modality (form defining) information concerning the attributes of the source modality that produced the medical image, and other physical or technical attributes of the image. With regard to claim 4, which further teaches after selection of an application by the user, the interface performs selection of every one in that group, Roewer teaches, in column 9, lines 45-48, the operator opening a patient's window where he can specify all the patient's images to be displayed. With regard to claim 4, which further teaches presenting only the image selections that characterize the image data to the display, Roewer states, in column 11, lines 45-49, that the GUI provides a dialogue box which steps through a patient hierarchy (patient-study-series-acquisition-images) to select patient image data.
- 10. With regard to claim 5, which teaches that the interface causes the display to show feasible sub-functions for each application and that each of these sub-functions can be individually selected by a user, Roewer teaches, in column 4, line 46 and column 6, lines 7-14, that there are window oriented commands organized by type of action (application), and that the user can step from presenting a set of graphical interface

Art Unit: 2173

commands at a workstation to actually manipulating the image display in response to the commands.

- 11. With regard to claim 6, which teaches the applications adjusted in a desired processing order, Roewer teaches, in column 3, lines 7-15, the adaptable and upgradeable nature of the image processing system.
- With regard to claim 7, which teaches a medical analysis apparatus, Roewer 12. teaches, in column 4, line 33 and column 4, line 46, providing a GUI for medical imagery in which all necessary information is visible. With regard to claim 7 further teaching arrangement to co-operate with a database for obtaining image data, Roewer teaches, in column 8, line 66, an operator loading a patients image data from a local database. With regard to claim 7 further teaching a visual display unit, Roewer teaches, in column 4, line 48, displaying the images on a workstation. With regard to claim 7 further teaching an input member allowing for communication, Roewer teaches in column 4, line 59, input via a mouse, hot keys, and other input devices. With regard to claim 7 further teaching the interface being arranged to select an image from each group of coherent image data. Roewer teaches, in column 11, lines 45-48, stepping through a hierarchy to select image data. With regard to claim 7 further teaching forming a pictorial representative of the selected image, Roewer teaches, in column 4, lines 50-57, providing a visual representation of the images. With regard to claim 7, further teaching causing the representative pictorial to be displayed on the display unit, Roewer teaches, in column 13, line 35 and figures 4a-c, the display of the images.

Application/Control Number: 09/801,626

Page 6

Art Unit: 2173

13. With regard to claims 8 and 9, which teach the interface being arranged to display feasible applications and the image data that can be processed by the selected application, Roewer teaches, in column 11, lines 45-54, a hierarchy of patient image data, where the user can select a patient and step through the available acquisitions (devices, complete with accompanying programs), to the individual images.

- 14. With regard to claim 10, which teaches the application and sub-functions being adjustable in desired processing order, Roewer teaches, in column 3, lines 7-15, the adaptable and upgradeable nature of the image processing system, which is what the specification of the application states is the purpose of adjusting processing order.
- 15. With regard to claim 11, which teaches the application being adjustable in desired processing order, Roewer teaches, in column 3, lines 7-15, the adaptable and upgradeable nature of the image processing system, which is what the specification of the application states is the purpose of adjusting processing order.
- 16. With regard to claim 12, which teaches the sub-functions being adjustable in desired processing order, Roewer teaches, in column 3, lines 7-15, the adaptable and upgradeable nature of the image processing system, which is what the specification of the application states is the purpose of adjusting processing order.
- 17. With regard to claim 13, which teaches the image data being medical image data, Roewer teaches, in column 4, lines 33-38, the graphical user interface for medical imagery.
- 18. With regard to claim 14, which teaches the representative pictorial is a small image showing coarse details only formed from the selected image of each group of

Art Unit: 2173

coherent image data, Roewer teaches, in column 13, lines 50-55, displaying a single frame to examine finer detail.

Page 7

- With regard to claim 15, which teaches the interface being arranged to display 19. only feasible applications for each group of image data simultaneously and in association with the pictorial representation of the image selected from the group of coherent image data, Roewer teaches, in column 4, lines 50-57, arrangement so that only relevant information is displayed and grouping image data.
- 20. With regard to claim 16, which teaches the image being combined with attribute data, Roewer teaches, in column 19, lines 15-20, the image having attribute data. With regard to claim 16, further teaching analyzing attribute data to determine whether to display the image data upon receiving a viewing command as a film or individually as pictorials, Roewer teaches, in column 9, lines 40-51 and column 11, lines 45-48, the selection of patient images for display where selection is based the specific patient hierarchy and the available cameras which also define certain formatting information (film size, frame layouts available).
- With regard to claim 17, which teaches the interface arranged to cause the 21. display unit to display a plurality of representative pictorials in a row adjacent to one another. Roewer teaches, in column 13, lines 35-47 and in figures 4a-c, display of a plurality of representative pictorials in rows adjacent to one another. With regard to claim 17, further teaching the pictorials being formed from an image selected from a different group of coherent image data, Roewer teaches in column 11, line 34-36, images from different patients being combined onto a singe film.

Art Unit: 2173

22. With regard to claim 18, which teaches displaying all applications for each group of coherent image data simultaneously and in association with the pictorial representation of the image, Roewer teaches, in column 11, lines 45-54, displaying through a patient hierarchy, where acquisitions (grouped by application) lead to the actual images from that specific device. With regard to claim 18, further teaching contrasting feasible application for each group of coherent image data with non-feasible applications, Roewer teaches, in column 4, lines 50-57, arrangement so that only relevant information is displayed (applications that are not feasible will not even be displayed, or in another common case grayed out)

23. With regard to claim 19, which teaches the image data combined with attribute data in a database, which characterizes a patient, or the relevant image data, Roewer teaches, in column 9, lines 40-51 and column 19, lines 15-20, the image having attribute data where the image is stored in a database. With regard to claim 19, further teaching the interface selecting feasible applications for each group of coherent image data, Roewer teaches, in column 11, lines 45-54, displaying through a patient hierarchy, where acquisitions (images from a specific device running a specific application) lead to the actual images from that specific device. With regard to claim 19, further teaching the display of only those representative pictorial for which the selected application is available, Roewer teaches, in column 11, lines 45-54, selection through a patient hierarchy, where acquisitions (images from a specific device running a specific application) lead to the actual images from that specific device.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2173

24. The arguments filed on 12-03-2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The reasons are set forth below.

- 25. With respect to the applicant's argument that, Roewer does not disclose, teach or suggest selecting an image from a coherent group of image data.
- 26. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that, Roewer teaches, in column 11, lines 45-48, selecting an image from a group of image data.
- 27. With regard to the applicant's argument that, Roewer does not disclose, teach or suggest forming a pictorial representative of the selected image.
- 28. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that, Roewer teaches, in column 6, lines 7-15, creating a graphical representation of an image.
- 29. With regard to the applicants argument that, Roewer does not disclose, teach or suggest causing the representative pictorial to be displayed on the display unit.
- 30. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that, Roewer teaches, in column 6, lines 7-15 and in figure 5, displaying the pictorial on a display unit.
- 31. With regard to the applicants argument that, Roewer does not disclose, teach or suggest selection of a particular image from a scan to form or derive a pictorial and then to display this pictorial.
- 32. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that, Roewer teaches, in column 4, lines 20-30 and figure 1, selecting a particular image from a scan to form the pictorial from.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2173

33. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- 34. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 35. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis G Bonshock whose telephone number is (703) 305-4668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 8:30 a.m. 5:00 p.m.
- 36. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/801,626

Art Unit: 2173

37. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dgb

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173

- 12

Page 11